

Question: What is your proposal 3rd choice of alternate routes and why did you choose it?

Answer: **Exhibit G** Moving the primary line a half mile further out in the same field would mitigate health risks to our family, and devaluation of our property while not running past any residences. If the line was moved further out into the field, another residence on our road would be spared exposure to the project's negative effects. We selected our Alternate Routes by utilizing existing utility corridors and the using the same land parcels that ATXI proposed to use, thus, avoiding involving new landowners.



The red line indicates Gregory and Theresa Pearce's proposal to modify the Primary Route to avoid two residences. ATXI can use the north end of the same fields as originally proposed, east to Clinton Road and then south back to ATXI's original Primary Route.

Question: Why are you concerned about the increased risk of childhood leukemia?

Answer: Our daughter, Emily, has Down syndrome which increases her risk of developing childhood leukemia by 14 times, without constant exposure to a high voltage transmission line. She has had a series of health concerns. Some of which are ongoing. She was in intensive care for twelve days when she was born due to many complications including a low platelet count, and a ventricular septal defect of the heart. She was again hospitalized in the intensive care unit of St. John's Hospital for eleven days when she was nine due to disseminating histoplasmosis; a common, flu-like infection in the Mississippi River Valley. She was also treated for juvenile rheumatoid arthritis when she was in elementary school. She continues to have abnormal blood cell counts. As Emily's parents it would be unconscionable for us to ignore the possible health risks to our child. We would have to move to reduce that risk.

Exhibit H Please see the affidavit from her pediatrician office: